## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	duction	2
II.	Background		
	A.	Defendants' Purchase of Subject Properties	3
	B.	The Pukalani STP	4
	C.	The Lis Pendens	5
III.	Lega	ıl Argument	7
	A.	The <i>Lis Pendens</i> Should Be Discharged Because the Plaintiff's Claims Do Not Affect the Subject Properties	8
	В.	Claims Under Haw. Rev. Stat. Ch. 651C are Limited to Money Damages, and Thus, Not Properly the Subject of a <i>Lis Pendens</i> .	9
	C.	A Lis Pendens Cannot be Utilized by Plaintiff Merely Because It Wishes to Have a Collateral Interest to Secure Collection of a Judgment it Hopes to Recover, When the Plaintiff Does Not Assert a Claim to Title or Possession of the Subject Property	12
		1. The Purpose of a <i>Lis Pendens</i> Is To Provide Notice of Pending Litigation and Not to Make Plaintiffs Secured Creditors	12
		2. A Plaintiff Must Assert a Direct Claim to Title or a Right of Possession in the Subject Property in Order To Be Entitled to a <i>Lis Pendens</i>	15
	D.	Neither the Plaintiff's Rescission Claim, Nor Any Other Claim for Equitable Relief, Entitles the Plaintiff to the <i>Lis Pendens</i> .	17
	E.	Even if the Transfer of the Pukalani Properties were Rescinded, the Defendants Would Still Be Entitled to an Interest in the Pukalani Properties Under Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-8(d)(1)	20
IV.	Conc	clusion	22

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## **CASES**

<u>AIG Hawaii Ins. Co., Inc. v. Bateman</u> , 82 Hawaii 453, 923 P.2d 325 (1996)	18
Beneficial Hawaii, Inc. v. Kida, 96 Hawaii 289, 30 P.3d 895 (2001)	19
Cole, Schotz, Bernstein, Meisel & Forman v. Owens, 679 A.2d 155 (N.J. Super. Ct. 1996)	8
C3 Media & Marketing Group, LLC v. Firstgate Internet, Inc., 419 F. Supp. 2d 419 (S.D.N.Y. 2005)	18-19
Dow Chemical Co. v. United States, 226 F.3d 1334 (2000)	18
Emhart Corp. v. McLarty, 226 Ga. 621, 176 S.E. 2d 698 (1970)	20
Knauer v. Foote, 101 Hawaii 81. 63 P.3d 389 (2003)	16-17
La Paglia v. Superior Court, 215 Cal.App. 3d 1322 (1989)	16
S. Utsunomiya Enterprises, Inc. v. Moomuku Country Club, 75 Haw. 480, 866 P.2d 951 (1994)	7, 8, 13-16, 19
<u>Tewa Tesuque v. Morton</u> , 360 F. Supp. 452 (D. N.M. 1973)	20
Zola v. Gordon, 685 F. Supp. 354 (S.D.N.Y. 1988)	18
<u>STATUTES</u>	
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 634-51	12-13
Haw. Rev. Stat. Ch. 651C	5, 6
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-4	2, 5, 9
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-5	2, 5, 9
Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-7	9. 11

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (cont'd)

Haw. Rev. Stat. § 651C-8	
	12, 20,
	21
11 U.S.C. § 550	9, 10,
	12
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
13 Am. Jur. 2d Cancellation of Instruments § 48 (2005)	20
51 Am Jur 2d <i>Lis Pendens</i> §28 (2005)	8
12A C.J.S. Cancellation of Instruments § 3 (2005)	18
12A C.J.S. Cancellation of Instruments §9 (2005)	18
Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act § 8 cmt. (2) (1984)	9, 11